

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
10/709,798	KARTSCHOKE ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Suchin Parihar	2825	

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 3:15 pm EST

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant, ☒ Applicant's representative)

### Part I.

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested that applicant amend claims 6 and 16 to overcome the claim objection set forth in the Ex parte Quayle Office Action mailed 4/5/2006 to bring the application into a condition for allowance. The applicant agrees and claims 6 and 16 are amended as discussed in the Examiner's Amendment.